Dear Jim, Md conference-after you left 6/15/75

With luck the wffects of the metting with had the the Virginians yesterday afternoon will be good and will continue.

I never did ask you who it was who commented to you on how I land "leaned" on them. You laughed as you told he you had replied that was not realt leaning for me. However, they seem to have thought it over and to have taken it as other than leaning.

They were present intermittently only during the speeches. What reaction I got was good, for all the talks. Some pretty emotional. Rick Feeney seemed quite taken by Howard's presentation of fact and mine of other considerations and asked for tapes of both.

In short, the conservatives see and understand what they had not before.

They were all at Floyd's party, which lasted until 2 a.m. or so, with various kinds of refreshments and varying degrees of intoxication and stoning. Before and after the inflences were felt there was clear and friendly reflection of their changes. The liberals, too.

Each one spearately went out of his or her way to comment on how valuable contact with us had been, how they had seen what they had not earlier seen, how much more they had come to understand, etc.

They were disappointed that Floyd no mo more organization but were specific in saying that balance still was encouraging and worthwhile to them. (Floyd had had promises of other college participation that did not materialize.)

I had long conversations with each of them at the party. I blew with the Lowenstein girl and drank with the others. I alone did not get stoned or drunk, but the reflections of feeling prior to then were good. (better afterward, tho!) Antagonistic as she had been during the day she gave me a big hug and kiss as they left. Jay Buckley is the Senator's son. He and I spent much time together and achieved a pretty good understanding and perception of common ground and interest.

The way I left it with Andy they would prepare an outline of a bill of particulars and go over it with me and they would then prepare the bill, for which I would provide documentary attachments. They will probably send Mark and Mike for ~~xxxx~~ If they want to use the curbstone and Lovelady/Oswald pictures I've offered them.

Odd the impart of a few ffames in motion over the stills I've had and shown for years. But they sure were taken by that introduction to "new evidence" almost ten years old and published!

The CBS picture that Richter had taken at my suggestion in 1967 has disappeared from my files. Howard has a black-and-white copy made from it. Sprague has a print. Corden will try to get a replacement from Richter.
Groden has again said he'll give me good prints of the Zapruder splice. All in all, I think there can be an added appendix of pictures. And the time is close.

Groden has finally done the Willis work I asked him to do years ago. I have it in WW II from examination of the slides and volumes but his is clearer by far that the prints I made from the printed exhibit. This sequence also could go in such an added appendix and could be used currently with Congress and colleges. Ditto for the curbstone pic. I short, a sig of either 16 or 32 pp added could be used as an appendix to the bill of particularls and could be printed inexpensively when PW is reprinted. Any suggestions?

I'm tired. I wanted to note these few things before I rty to stay awake long enough to attack the new stack. Best,